

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,834	09/887,834 06/22/2001		Rajiv Jain	M-9351 US	7855
24251	7590	12/20/2002			
SKJERVE		ILL LLP	EXAMINER		
25 METRO DRIVE SUITE 700 SAN JOSE, CA 95110				CUNNINGHAM, TERRY D	
SAN JUSE,	CA 9311	U		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•			2816	
				DATE MAILED: 12/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
 Advisory Action	09/887,834	JAIN ET AL.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Terry D. Cunningham	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 26 November 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a)						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) \boxtimes they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 4.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3 and 4-25.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		TerryD. Cunningham Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2816				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/887,834



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed changes to claim 19 are not consistant with the changes suggested in the previous office action. The scope of claim now proposed has not been previous considered, thus requiring further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The outstanding office action has already expressly addressed how the reference to Chan anticipates the claim language concerning the magnitude of the voltages. Additionally, there is nothing found in the proposed amendment to claim 19 concerning a difference in magnitudes of the "first" and "third magnitudes".